


Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/527,984	ITOH ET AL.	

All Participants:	Status of Application: _____
(1) <u>Aung S. Moe.</u>	(3) _____
(2) <u>Sungho Hong (Reg. No. 54,571).</u>	(4) _____
Date of Interview: <u>15 March 2006</u>	Time: <u>11:00AM</u>
Type of Interview:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____	
Part I.	
Rejection(s) discussed:	
<i>none</i>	
Claims discussed:	
1, 11, 21, 32-34 and 60	
Prior art documents discussed:	
<i>none</i>	
Part II.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
<i>the applicant's representative agree with the examiner to make changes to the claims 1, 11, 21, 32-34 and 60 as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment (see attached)</i>	
Part III.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	
 AUNG MOE PRIMARY EXAMINER	
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)